## UNPUBLISHED

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 19-6083

OLANDIO RAY WORKMAN,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

SCOTTY BODIFORD, Jail Administrator individual capacity official capacity; MAJOR STOWERS, individual capacity official capacity; JIMMY THOMPSON, Mail Room Supervisor individual capacity official capacity; SERGEANT BOWMAN, individual capacity official capacity; OFFICER JENNINGS, individual capacity official capacity; OFFICER SHOKLLY, individual capacity official capacity; OFFICER COLLIER, individual capacity official capacity,

Defendants - Appellees,

and

JOSEPH KERNELL, Greenville County Administrator individual capacity official capacity; JOHN VANDERMOSTEN, Interim Assistance County Administrator individual capacity official capacity; ENNIS FANT, individual capacity official capacity; WILLIS MEADOWS, individual capacity official capacity; LYNN BALLARD, individual capacity official capacity; JOE DILL, individual capacity official capacity; BUTCH KIRVENY, individual capacity official capacity; SID CATES, individual capacity official capacity; RICK ROBERT, individual capacity official capacity; TRED PAYNE, individual capacity official capacity; XANTHENE NORRIS, individual capacity official capacity; LIZ SEMAN, individual capacity official capacity; BOB TAYLOR, individual capacity official capacity; GREENVILLE COUNTY COUNCIL,

Defendants.

* *	District Court for the District of South Carolina, lef District Judge. (6:18-cv-00355-RBH)	, at
Submitted: May 23, 2019	Decided: May 29, 20	019
Before KING and RICHARDSON	, Circuit Judges, and SHEDD, Senior Circuit Judge.	
Affirmed by unpublished per curia	m opinion.	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ant Pro Se. Carly Davis, Russell W. Harter, ER, PA, Greenville, South Carolina, for Appellees.	Jr.,
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ing precedent in this circuit.	

## PER CURIAM:

Olandio Ray Workman appeals the district court's orders accepting the recommendations of the magistrate judge and denying relief on his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2012) complaint. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. *Workman v. Bodiford*, No. 6:18-cv-00355-RBH (D.S.C. Oct. 2, 2018 & Dec. 28, 2018). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**AFFIRMED**